



**Out of Court Resolutions Scrutiny Panel**  
**Summary of the meeting on Thursday**  
**10 December 2025**  
**Microsoft Teams**

**Attendees:**

---

Richard Mangnall (RM)	Independent Panel Member and Chair
David Senior (DS)	Independent Panel Member and Deputy Chair
Jim Phillips (JP)	Independent Panel Member
Natalie Hill (NH)	Independent Panel Member
Hilary Latimer (HL)	Independent Panel Member
Mark Hill (MH)	Dorset Combined Youth Justice Service
Paul Douglas JP (PD)	Dorset Bench
Natalie Evans (NE)	HMCTS (His Majesty's Courts & Tribunals Service)
Ben Worthington (BW)	CPS (Crown Prosecution Service)
Philip White (PW)	Youth Justice Board Oversight Manager (observing)

**Dorset Police**

Sgt James Francis (JF)	Youth Justice Sergeant, Dorset Prevention
Jenny Bryant (JB)	Adult Out of Court Resolutions Manager
Nicki Philp (NP)	Criminal Justice Unit Manager
Sgt Evie Ahmadi (EA)	Volume Crime Team
Insp Nicholas Lee (NL)	Neighbourhood Inspector

**OPCC**

Tom Smith (TS)	Scrutiny Manager, OPCC
Yvonne Fenwick (YF)	Governance Manager, OPCC
Jacqui Kinch	Support Officer, OPCC

**1. WELCOME AND INTRODUCTIONS/DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST/CONFIDENTIALITY**

TS reminded panel members of the requirements of the confidentiality agreement they had each signed. He also drew attention to the handling instructions for the papers which should be deleted appropriately after the meeting.

**2. MINUTES**

The minutes of the meeting held on 2 October 2025 were confirmed as a true and accurate record.

**3. MATTERS ARISING/ACTIONS FROM PREVIOUS MEETING**

Updates on the actions from the previous meeting were provided by Dorset Police and included in the meeting papers. The force feedback sheet had also been provided.

In relation to Action 4 from the meeting of 5 June 2025, which related to Adult Case 18/25, the feedback from Dorset Police given at the meeting of 2 October 2025 reported the offender had not complied with the conditions of the OoCR and the force had been unable to contact him. RM remarked that the panel had felt the lack of any further sanctions was unsatisfactory. NP confirmed there was nothing more that could be done, however if the individual concerned committed any further offences

it would be unlikely an OoCR would be considered. It was agreed if the panel composed a suitable letter summarising their views, the Force would share a copy with the NPCC portfolio holder.

#### **4. REVIEW OF EFFECTIVENESS (REOFFENDING)**

A verbal update was given by JF on the Youth cases and JB on the Adult cases that were considered at the previous meeting in terms of any reoffending that had occurred since.

##### **Youth Cases – reoffending**

There was one case examined in the previous meeting where reoffending had occurred.

##### **Adult Cases – reoffending**

There was no reoffending in any of the cases examined in the previous meeting.

#### **5. PERFORMANCE UPDATE FOR QUARTER 2 2025/26**

NP presented the Performance Update for Quarter 2, 1 July 2025 to 30 September 2025.

The first slide showed Out of Court Resolutions broken down by type from Q1 2022/23 to Q2 2025/26, with separate graphs for Youth and Adult resolutions. During Q2 2025/26 there was a 17.5% increase in youth and adult OoCRs compared to the same quarter in the previous year. NH noted the comparative figures showed this percentage increase should be 21.2% which NP would feed back to the performance team who prepared the report.

The next slide showed the positive disposals broken down into monthly percentages by type for April 2025 through to September 2025. The disposal types were Adult OoCR, Charge, Summons and Youth OoCD. There were no significant changes over the six months.

#### **6. DIP SAMPLE – CASES FOR DISCUSSION**

##### **ADULT CASES OF OoCRs**

JB presented the Adult cases to the panel. The theme for this meeting was cases of drug possession and supply.

**CASE 36/25** the Panel agreed a score of 1 – the disposal was appropriate and consistent with Dorset Police policies, the CPS Code for Crown Prosecutors and the Victim Code.

**CASE 37/25** The panel agreed a score of 1 – the disposal was appropriate and consistent with Dorset Police policies, the CPS Code for Crown Prosecutors and the Victim Code.

**CASE 38/25** The panel agreed a score of 1 – the disposal was appropriate and consistent with Dorset Police policies, the CPS Code for Crown Prosecutors and the Victim Code.

**CASE 39/25** The panel agreed a score of 2a – the disposal was appropriate with the above observations from the Panel.

**CASE 40/25** The panel decided to score each of the offenders involved in this case separately as they had differing previous convictions.  
The panel agreed a score of 2a for the first offender – the disposal was appropriate with the above observations from the Panel.

In the case of the second offender, the panel agreed a score of 1 for the offender named as Daniel in the incident – the disposal was appropriate and consistent with Dorset Police policies, the CPS Code for Crown Prosecutors and the Victim Code.

**CASE 41/25** The panel agreed a score of 3 – it was an inappropriate use of an out of court resolution because the panel believed the case should have proceeded to court.

### **YOUTH CASES OF OoCDs**

JF presented the Youth cases to the panel. The theme for this meeting was cases of drug possession and supply.

**CASE 42/25** The panel agreed a score of 1 – the disposal was appropriate and consistent with Dorset Police policies, the CPS Code for Crown Prosecutors and the Victim Code.

**CASE 43/25** The panel agreed a score of 1 – the disposal was appropriate and consistent with Dorset Police policies, the CPS Code for Crown Prosecutors and the Victim Code.

**CASE 44/25** The panel agreed a score of 1 – the disposal was appropriate and consistent with Dorset Police policies, the CPS Code for Crown Prosecutors and the Victim Code.

**CASE 45/25** The panel agreed a score of 2a – the disposal was appropriate with the above observations from the Panel.

**CASE 46/25** The panel agreed a score of 1 – the disposal was appropriate and consistent with Dorset Police policies, the CPS Code for Crown Prosecutors and the Victim Code.

**CASE 47/25** The panel agreed a score of 1 – the disposal was appropriate and consistent with Dorset Police policies, the CPS Code for Crown Prosecutors and the Victim Code.

### **7. THEME FOR NEXT MEETING**

The theme for the next meeting was cases involving females and individuals from ethnic minority groups for both the youth and the adult cases.

### **8. ANY OTHER BUSINESS**

There was no other business.

### **9. DATE OF NEXT MEETING**

The next panel meeting is on Thursday 2 April 2026.